

We put science to work.™

Challenges and Solutions for Safe Management of Spent Nuclear Fuel

Dr. Robert L. Sindelar, Laboratory Fellow

ANS Savannah River Chapter Dinner Meeting, March 19, 2024





Managed and operated by Battelle Savannah River Alliance, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy.

Introduction

- Scope: Extended storage of spent nuclear fuel (SNF) from present design Research Reactors (RR) and from Power Reactors (PR)
- De facto extended storage
- See paper in Journal of the South Carolina Academy of Science for additional information

Winner of the 2021 Governor's Award for Excellence in Scientific Research

The Nuclear Fuel Cycle: Safe Management of Spent Nuclear Fuel

R.L. Sindelar

Laboratory Fellow, Savannah River National Laboratory, Aiken, SC

The aim for storage of spent nuclear fuel (SNF) either in wet or in dry storage systems is to ensume general safety objective a re met throughout a desired storage period. Staff at the Savamah River National Laboratory (SRNL), in collaborations with partners at other national laboratories, industry research organizations, and the University of South Carolina (UOSC), have performed materials aging testing and analyses, and have established nuclear materials aging management programs to support extended periods of safe storage of research reactor (RR) SNF and of commercial power reactor (PR) SNF pending ultimate disposal. Several example challenges include susceptibility of aluminum SNF from research reactors to corrosion in poor quality water (wet storage). In dry storage, aluminum SNF can release hydrogen via radiolysis of the hydrated oxides on the aluminum dadding. Austenitic stainless steel canisters used for dry storage are susceptible to chloride-induced stress corrosion cracking (outside-in attack) that threaten the confinement boundary provided by the canister. This paper further describes these challenges, among others, and the formulated solutions to support extended stafe storage of SNF.

Introduction

The nuclear fuel cycle for fission reactors spans the set of functions and processes from the initial mining of uranium through to the permanent disposal of the spent fuel itself, or, if reprocessed to recover useful species, a waste form for disposal in a repository (see Figure 1). An important stage in the back end of this cycle is the storage of the spent nuclear fuel (SNF) which can be in a wet storage system (e.g., a pool or water basin) or in a dry storage system (e.g., stainless steel canisters with radiation shielding overpacks on a concrete pad outside).



dual-purpose canisters¹ (DPC) made of stainless-steel numbering over 3000 DPCs [1]. The U.S. Department of Energy - Environmental Management (DOE -EM) office is responsible for the receipt and storage pending disposition

Figure 2. Research Reactor (RR) and Power Reactor (PR)

Figure 1. Stages in a Generic Nuclear Fuel Cycle for a PR with Reprocessing [courtesy of U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission]

esent, over 80,000 metric tonnes of heavy metal (MTHM) of SNF have

een discharged from PR in the U.S., of which nearly half is stored in

Commission] Figure 2 shows examples of commercial power reactor (PR) fuel and their wet and dry storage systems. Following reactor service, the SNF is discharged to a pool for cooling, at the Savannah River Site (SRS) in South Carolina. Additional for both power reactors (PR) and research reactor (RR). The SNF can additional cooling and shielding of the Reactor, is in dry storage fragment fuel cools and the savannah River Site (SRS) in South Carolina. Additional fuel mainter of which originated from the Advanced Test remain in pool storage for additional cooling and shielding of the Reactor, is in dry storage fragment (INSF) at attendant decay radiation. In the U.S., PR fuel was originally intended to the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center (INTEC) at the be stored in the ward yor oson-to-be full pools at most of the SNF finder most of the SNF finder MR and RR has led to de facto extended storage with no

AI Clad PR Plate Fue

RR Dry Storage Facility

Fuel in Storage Systems

fuel cycle strategy since the late 1970's, and this has led to interim the function of a count geologic repository as no uninter curs and constorage in pools at full capacity or soon-to-be full pools at most of the the SVF from PR and Rc has led to de facto extended storage with no U.S. reactor sites, and in dry storage systems owned by utilities and certain end date.

¹ The DPC would be multi-purpose for storage, transportation, and disposal

Journal of the South Carolina Academy of Science, [2022], 20 (1) | 21

https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/jscas/vol20/iss1/7



Nuclear Fuel Cycle (Fission Reactors)



Stages in a Generic Nuclear Fuel Cycle for a PR with Reprocessing [courtesy of U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission]

Savannah River National Laboratory •

Description of RR and PR Fuel



Research Reactor Fuel



Materials Testing Reactor (MTR) design fuel Aluminum-clad, aluminum-based plates





226 Research Reactors in operation, 840 total in 70 countries worldwide (IAEA RR Database)

Spent Nuclear Fuel from RR Reactors in Vertical Tube Storage in L Basin



Power Reactor Fuel



Assembly with pins/rods of UO₂ Pellets in Zircaloy Cladding



Multipurpose Canister (courtesy of Holtec International)



Holtec HI-STORM System (courtesy of Holtec International) ~ 4000 canisters being stored in U.S. at present

Savannah River National Laboratory®

Safety Functions for Wet or for Dry Storage of SNF

- Maintain criticality safety
- Maintain cooling of the fuel
- Maintain radiation shielding
- Maintain confinement
- Maintain retrievability (on canister or fuel basis)

Met by the Structures, Systems, and Components of the storage system with specifications and limits (e.g., peak cladding temperature for the fuel) and by establishing Aging Management Programs (e.g., Inservice Inspection programs)

Safety functions derived from U.S. NRC Standard Review Plan for dry storage and IAEA safety standards for RR and PR

Challenges and Solutions for Wet and Dry Storage of RR Fuel



RR Fuel in Wet Storage – Challenge is Corrosion Attack to Aluminum and Impact

Corrosion types for metals in water storage

- General Corrosion Pitting Corrosion
- Crevice Corrosion Galvanic Corrosion
- Intergranular Corrosion Stress Corrosion Cracking
- End-Grain Attack
- Erosion-Corrosion
- Blister Formation (Filiform Corrosion)
- Microbial Corrosion
- Sediment-Induced Corrosion



Corrosion attack on aluminum spent fuel in poor quality water



RR Fuel in Wet Storage – Establish Water Quality Limits

Water quality parameter limits and chemistry monitoring prescribed by IAEA for

aluminum materials in research reactor systems

Table 1. Recommended physical-chemical parameters, limits, and monitoring frequencies for water in fuel decay and storage basins

PARAMETER	VALUE (LIMIT)	MONITORING
		FREQUENCY
pH	4.5 to 7	weekly
Conductivity	$< 10 \ \mu S/cm$	weekly
Solids	< 5 mg/l	Every 6 months
Cu Concentration	< 0.1 mg/l	Every 6 months
Cl Concentration	< 0.1 mg/l	Every 6 months
Nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻), mg/l	< 10 mg/l	Every 6 months
Sulphate (SO_4^2) , mg/l	< 10 mg/l	Every 6 months
Fe Concentration	< 1.0 mg/l	Every 6 months
Al Concentration	< 1.0 mg/l	Every 6 months
Temperature	< 45°C	monthly
Radioactivity level (*)	(see note below)	Weekly
Turbidity (**)	(see note below)	



Corrosion testing – OCP, LPR, CPP can be used to evaluate water chemistry aggressiveness

(*) Water Radioactivity level and the presence of radioisotope species should be measured each time a water sample is drawn or one time per week. A gamma scan is recommended to measure the presence of radioisotopes that would have come from failed fuel (e.g. Cs-137). No specific limits are set. The presence of radioisotope species should be evaluated on case-by-case basis. Measurement of the activity from filters and resin columns should be performed to detect the presence of leaking fuel.

(**)Turbidity should be reduced, as necessary, to provide visual clarity in the water system.



RR Fuel in Wet Storage – Corrosion Monitoring; Inservice Inspection



Corrosion Coupon Rack – Coupon designs can be used to evaluate all types of corrosion attack



Fuel Examination Table use in L Basin





Pitting corrosion on fuel plate

End grain attack

Corrosion Monitoring

Inservice Inspection

Savannah River National Laboratory •

PR Wet Storage of Neutron Absorber Material Panels – Challenge is Blistering



Boral[®], a NAM, is credited For criticality control in spent fuel storage pools



B₄C-Al composite material bonded between two thin sheets of aluminum cladding

Front (before heat treatment)







Corrosion testing in water chemistries and temperatures of **PWR and BWR spent fuel pools** including off-normal upset conditions showed corrosion not significant to cause loss of B₄C



Neutron Absorber Materials In BWR and PWR Pools suffered several instances of gross blistering in service. Pictures and micrographs of forced blistering



Transition Wet to Dry Storage



Transition Wet to Dry Storage – Standard Guide Developed

- ASTM C1553-21 Drying Guide:
 - How to dry?
 - Sufficiency of dryness?
 - How to measure dryness?
- Residual Water Sources:
 - Free
 - Physisorbed
 - Chemisorbed
- Dryness Criterion:
 - 30-min hold time with < 4 × 10⁻⁴ MPa (<3 torr)
 - Corresponds to ~10 ml free water

See d'Entremont, et. al., in Nuclear Technology, https://doi.org/10.1080/00295450.2023.2226519



Determine the amount of residual water (free, physisorbed, and chemisorbed) after a drying process

Evaluate effects of residual water for the SNF-incanister storage system



ASTM C1553, Figure 1

Challenges and Solutions for Dry Storage of RR Fuel



RR Fuel in Dry Storage – Challenge/Solution for Radiolytic Hydrogen Generation



Hydrated oxides on aluminum claddings due to service Radiolytic H₂ generated from lab-grown oxides on aluminum

Savannah River National Laboratory •

Challenges and Solutions for Dry Storage of PR Fuel



PR Fuel in Dry Storage – Challenge of Radial Hydride Reorientation, Embrittlement of Cladding

Corrosion during reactor operation: $Zr + 2H_2O \rightarrow ZrO_2 + 4H$

Zirconium hydride, δ hydrides (ZrH_{1.59}) form

Fuel rod compressed: by 2200 psi, PWR by 2200 psi, BWR Hydrogen is redissolved at high T. Re-precipitated at high hoop stress in clad:

At 400°C, 200 ppm H in Zr 90 MPa = 13 ksi





The solution: the results from the High Burnup Demonstration Cask experiment showed very low temperatures (< 250°C peak). Thus the cladding Is not subject to radial hydride reorientation

Savannah River National Laboratory

PR Fuel in Dry Storage – Challenge of Chloride-Induced Stress Corrosion Cracking of the Stainless Steel MPC (Outside-In Attack) – SRNL Large Plate Test

Concern: Marine Salt Deposits, Deliquesce and Form Brine to Cause CISCC on MPC



- 20" x 18" x 5/8" Plate from Canister
- Pre-machined defects
- Applied sea salt loading, let deliquesce No crack initiated
- Two-years exposure, including additional saltNo cracking from machined defects
 - No cracking from machined defects No crack initiated



5/8 in. or 15.875 mm

VP-2

PR Fuel in Dry Storage – Solution to Chloride-Induced Stress Corrosion Cracking – ISI (ASME N-860)



Flaw Stability Determination (Normal, Accident, Residual Stress Loadings)

Crack Growth Rate



Summary

- Solutions have been developed for safe extended storage of SNF, both RR and PR (maintain safety objectives) – SRNL played an important role
 - Challenges because of materials aging of the SNF and/or the SSCs of the storage system – address through limits/controls and/or Aging Management Programs
- Thank you for attending this ANS-SR meeting!